## Extract from Hansard

[COUNCIL — Tuesday, 26 June 2018] p3827d-3828a Hon Tjorn Sibma; Hon Sue Ellery

## $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{LOCAL}\,\mathsf{PROJECTS}, \mathsf{LOCAL}\,\mathsf{JOBS}\,\mathsf{PROGRAM} - \mathsf{DEPARTMENT}\,\mathsf{OF}\,\mathsf{THE}\,\mathsf{PREMIER}\,\mathsf{AND}\,\mathsf{CABINET} - \\ \mathsf{REPORTING}\,\mathsf{AND}\,\mathsf{ACQUITTAL}\,\mathsf{PROCESS} \end{array}$

1289. Hon Tjorn Sibma to the Leader of the House representing the Premier:

I refer to Local Projects, Local Jobs (LPLJ) programs, funded by the Department of Premier and Cabinet budget since March 2017, and I ask:

- (a) which reporting and acquittal process did the department apply to the administration of these LPLJ programs;
- (b) with respect to (a), was this process consistently applied to all LPLJ programs funded through the department;
- (c) how did the department's reporting and acquittal process in respect of these LPLJ programs differ from processes the department typically applies to the administration of the other grant schemes it controls; and
- (d) are each of the LPLJ programs funded by the department consistent with the evaluation criteria and strategic objectives of the department pursued via its other grant programs?

## Hon Sue Ellery replied:

- (a) The Department's existing reporting and acquittal process for community grant agreements in accordance with the Department's policy and procedures for grants administration.
- (b) Yes.
- (c) It did not differ.
- (d) As has been canvassed before, these are election commitments that are being delivered via established grants processes.